1 2 3 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and Case No. C08-01552 PJH MITCHELL SLAUGHTER, 12 Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton Plaintiffs. 13 VS. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, E. WHITE (#307) and Action Filed: March 20, 2008 S. ANDRETICH (#339), individually and in their) Trial Date: None Set official capacities; VICTORIA'S SECRET, CLAUDIA SOTO, ABERCROMBIE & FITCH, and MELISSA BASFIELD, 17 Defendants. 18 Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 19 following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: 20 The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: 21 Court Processes: 22 23 Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) 24 Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R.5) 25 Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) 26 (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an 27 ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for

CAMUTON DISANTE & FREUDINBERGER LLP

CARLTON DISANTE &

Case No. C08-01552 PJH STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY James A. Lassart

By: James & Lassart

Attorneys for Defendants

ABER CROMBIE & FITCH and MELISSA BASFIELD

Case No. C08-01552 PJH STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Page 4 of 5

